United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145

September Term, 2020

NHTS-85FR24174 EPA-83FR16077

Filed On: October 8, 2020 [1865427]

Competitive Enterprise Institute, et al.,

Petitioners

٧.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, et al.,

Respondents

Alliance for Automotive Innovation, et al., Intervenors

Consolidated with 20-1167, 20-1168, 20-1169, 20-1173, 20-1174, 20-1176, 20-1177, 20-1230

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motions for leave to intervene filed by:

Ingevity Corporation, State of Washington, District of Columbia, State of New Jersey, State of Maine, State of Hawaii, State of Illinois, State of Maryland, State of Colorado, State of Nevada, State of New York, State of Connecticut, State of Vermont, State of Rhode Island, State of North Carolina, South Coast Air Quality Management District, State of California, State of Minnesota, State of Oregon, Commonwealth of Virginia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, State of Wisconsin, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, City and County of Denver, Environmental Defense Fund, Sierra Club, Union of Concerned Scientists, Conservation Law Foundation, Consumer Federation of America, Public Citizen, Inc., Environment America, Environmental Law and Policy Center, Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. and Alliance for Automotive Innovation,

it is **ORDERED** that the motions be granted.

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145

September Term, 2020

Circuit Rules 28(d) and 32(e)(2) govern the filing of briefs by intervenors. A schedule for the filing of briefs will be established by future order. That order will automatically provide briefing only for intervenors on the side of respondents. Any intervenor(s) intending to participate in support of petitioners must so notify the court, in writing, within 14 days of the date of this order. Such notification must include a statement of the issues to be raised by the intervenor(s). This notification will allow tailoring of the briefing schedule to provide time for a brief as intervenor on the side of petitioners. Failure to submit notification could result in an intervenor being denied leave to file a brief.

Intervenors supporting the same party are reminded that they **must** file a joint brief or certify to the court why a separate brief is necessary. Intervenors' attention is particularly directed to <u>D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures</u> 39 (2019), which describes "unacceptable" grounds for filing separate briefs. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. <u>See</u> D.C. Cir. Rule 39.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Lynda M. Flippin Deputy Clerk